

#### The Galaxiidae

The Galaxiidae is arguably the most widespread freshwater fish family of southern-temperate affinity in terms of geographic spread across continents (South America, South Africa, Australia, New Caledonia and New Zealand) and altitudinal range, occurring from sea level to more than 2000 m above sea level

With 47 valid extant species world-wide, galaxiids have reached a particularly high level of species richness in Australia and New Zealand, with 20 endemic species each. Eight additional species have so far been described from fossil remains in New Zealand.



#### <u>Galaxias olidus</u>

Commonly called the Mountain Galaxias, Galaxias olidus has a broad distribution on both sides of the Great Dividing Range on mainland Australia, extending from near Toowoomba in southern Queensland, through New South Wales and Victoria, to Kangaroo Island in South Australia. A small species less than 135mm in length, it occupies freshwater streams and larger rivers, commonly in foothill and montane areas and extending into alpine reaches, though it is also found in lowland zones. G. olidus completes its entire life-cycle in freshwater and is the most widespread species of non-diadromous galaxiid in Australia.





Galaxias *olidus* is not just one fish, it is a species complex and in 2014 around 15 individual species were identified and described with three additional species that had been identified and described at earlier dates.

#### The list of species now includes;

Galaxias aequipinnis	East Gippsland Galaxias
Galaxias Arcanus	Riffle Galaxias
Galaxias brevissimus	Short Tail Galaxias
Galaxias fuscus	Barred galaxias
Galaxias guniakurnai	Shaw Galaxias
Galaxias lanceolatus	Tapered Galaxias
Galaxias longifundus	West Gippsland Galaxias
Galaxias Mcdowalli	McDowall's Galaxias
Galaxias mungadhan	Dargo Galaxias
Galaxia oliros	Obscure Galaxias
Galaxias ornatus	Ornate Galaxias
Galaxias supremus	Kosciuszko Galaxias
Galaxias tantangara	Stocky Galaxias
Galaxias terenasus	Roundsnout Galaxias
Galaxias terenasus	Roundsnout Galaxias

**Endangered Vic.** Not Listed NSW & Vic. **Critically Endangered NSW Critically Endangered Vic. Critically Endangered Vic. Critically Endangered Vic. Threatened Vic. Critically Endangered Vic. Critically Endangered Vic. Threatened IUCN Threatened IUCN Critically Endangered NSW Critically Endangered NSW Endangered IUCN Critically Endangered Vic.** 

Bemm River Catchment Upper Murray Catchment **Tuross River Catchment** Goulburn River Catchment Yalmi River Catchment **Thompson River Catchment** La Trobe River Catchment Lower Snowy River Catchment Dargo River Catchment Murray River Catchment Coastal Central Victoria Upper Kosciuszko Drainage Tantangara Creek Mid Snowy Catchment Cann & Genoa Rivers



### **IUCN**

#### International Union for Conservation of Nature Red List

Dr Tarmo Raadik – Arthur Rylan Institute for Environmental Research Associate Professor Mark Lintermans – Australian Society for Fish Biology

All Mountain Galaxias listed except *Galaxias Arcanus*. All listed under criteria B (a).

#### **EPBC Act**

#### Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act Australian Government Canberra

Conservation Advice released 2023 for *Galaxias terenasus*. Listed as endangered

#### **Fisheries Management Act**

#### **New South Wales Government**

Dr Dean Gilligan – Was Senior research scientist, NSW Department of Primary Industries – Now with Bush Heritage Australia, Freshwater & Wetland Ecologist.

Galaxias terenasus not listed in NSW

SUMMARY OF THE FIVE CRITERIA (A-E) USED TO EVALUATE IF A TAXON BELONGS IN AN IUCN RED LIST THREATENED CATEGORY (CRITICALLY ENDANGERED, ENDANGERED OR VULNERABLE).<sup>1</sup>

		Critically Endangered	Endangered	Vulnerable
A1		≥ 90%	≥ 70%	≥ 50%
A2,	A3 & A4	≥ 80%	≥ 50%	≥ 30%
A1	Population reduction observed, estimated, inferred, o the past where the causes of the reduction are clearly understood AND have ceased.	r suspected in reversible AND	(a) direct ( (b) an ir approp	observation (except A3 idex of abundar riate to the taxon
A2	Population reduction observed, estimated, inferred, or si past where the causes of reduction may not have ceased understood OR may not be reversible.	USPECTED in the OR may not be	(c) a declin based on (AOO), any of the (EOO) a	ne in area of occupar extent of occurrer and/or habitat quality
A3	Population reduction projected, inferred or suspected to future (up to a maximum of 100 years) [(a) cannot be used I	o be met in the for A3].	following: (d) actual exploit	or potential levels ation
A4	An observed, estimated, inferred, projected or suspec reduction where the time period must include both the pa (up to a max. of 100 years in future), and where the causes o not have ceased OR may not be understood OR may not b	ted population st and the future of reduction may e reversible.	<ul> <li>(e) effects hybridi polluta parasiti</li> </ul>	of introduced ta zation, pathoge nts, competitors es.
B. G	eographic range in the form of either B1 (extent of occu	irrence) AND/OR B2 (are	a of occupancy)	
		Critically Endangered	Endangered	Vulnerable
B1.	Extent of occurrence (EOO)	< 100 km <sup>2</sup>	< 5,000 km <sup>2</sup>	< 20,000 km <sup>2</sup>
B2.	Area of occupancy (AOO)	< 10 km <sup>2</sup>	< 500 km <sup>2</sup>	< 2,000 km <sup>2</sup>
AN	D at least 2 of the following 3 conditions:			
(a)	Severely fragmented OR Number of locations	- 1	≤ 5	≤ 10
(b)	Continuing decline observed, estimated, inferred or proj extent and/or quality of habitat; (iv) number of locations	jected in any of: (i) exten or subpopulations; (v) nu	it of occurrence; (ii) area mber of mature individua	of occupancy; (iiii) ar Is
(c)	Extreme fluctuations in any of: (i) extent of occurrence; (ii)	area of occupancy; (iii) nu	umber of locations or subp	opulations; (iv) num
	of mature individuals			
c. s	mall population size and decline			
c. s	of mature individuals mall population size and decline	Critically Endangered	Endangered	Vulnerable
c.s	of mature individuals mall population size and decline mber of mature individuals	Critically Endangered < 250	Endangered < 2,500	Vulnerable < 10,000
C.S Nu	mail population size and decline mber of mature individuals D at least one of C1 or C2	Critically Endangered < 250	Endangered < 2,500	Vulnerable < 10,000
C.S Nu AN C1.	mail population size and decline mber of mature individuals D at least one of C1 or C2 An observed, estimated or projected continuing decline of at least (up to a max. of 100 years in future):	Critically Endangered < 250 25% in 3 years or 1 generation (whichever is longer)	Endangered < 2,500 20% in 5 years or 2 generations (whichever is longer)	Vulnerable < 10,000 10% in 10 years o 3 generations (whichever is long)
C. S Nu AN C1.	mail population size and decline mber of mature individuals D at least one of C1 or C2 An observed, estimated or projected continuing decline of at least (up to a max. of 100 years in future): An observed, estimated, projected or inferred continuing decline AND at least 1 of the following 3 conditions:	Critically Endangered < 250 25% in 3 years or 1 generation (whichever is longer)	Endangered < 2,500 20% in 5 years or 2 generations (whichever is longer)	Vulnerable < 10,000 10% in 10 years o 3 generations (whichever is longe
C. S Nu AN C1. C2.	and mature individuals mall population size and decline mber of mature individuals D at least one of C1 or C2 An observed, estimated or projected continuing decline of at least (up to a max. of 100 years in future): An observed, estimated, projected or inferred continuing decline AND at least 1 of the following 3 conditions: (i) Number of mature individuals in each subpopulation	Critically Endangered < 250 25% in 3 years or 1 generation (whichever is longer) ≤ 50	Endangered < 2,500 20% in 5 years or 2 generations (whichever is longer) \$ 250	Vulnerable < 10,000 10% in 10 years o 3 generations (whichever is longer ≤ 1,000
C.S Nu AN C1. (a)	all population size and decline mber of mature individuals D at least one of C1 or C2 An observed, estimated or projected continuing decline of at least (up to a max. of 100 years in future): An observed, estimated, projected or inferred continuing decline AND at least 1 of the following 3 conditions: (i) Number of mature individuals in each subpopulation (ii) % of mature individuals in one subpopulation =	Critically Endangered < 250 25% in 3 years or 1 generation (whichever is longer) < 50 90–100%	Endangered < 2,500 20% in 5 years or 2 generations (whichever is longer) \$ 250 95–100%	Vulnerable           < 10,000
C.S Nui AN C1. (a) (b)	and the mature individuals mail population size and decline more of mature individuals D at least one of C1 or C2 An observed, estimated or projected continuing decline of at least (up to a max. of 100 years in future): An observed, estimated, projected or inferred continuing decline AND at least 1 of the following 3 conditions: (i) Number of mature individuals in each subpopulation = Extreme fluctuations in the number of mature individuals	Critically Endangered < 250 25% in 3 years or 1 generation (whichever is longer) ≤ 50 90–100%	Endangered < 2,500 20% in 5 years or 2 generations (whichever is longer) \$ 250 95–100%	Vulnerable < 10,000 10% in 10 years of 3 generations (whichever is longer \$ 1,000 100%
C.S Nui AN C1. (a) (b) D.V	and mature individuals mall population size and decline mber of mature individuals D at least one of C1 or C2 An observed, estimated or projected continuing decline of at least (up to a max. of 100 years in future): An observed, estimated, projected or inferred continuing decline AND at least 1 of the following 3 conditions: (i) Number of mature individuals in each subpopulation (ii) % of mature individuals in one subpopulation = Extreme fluctuations in the number of mature individuals ery small or restricted population	Critically Endangered < 250 25% in 3 years or 1 generation (whichever is longer) ≤ 50 90–100%	Endangered < 2,500 20% in 5 years or 2 generations (whichever is longer) ≤ 250 95–100%	Vulnerable           < 10,000
C.S Nu AN C1. (a) (b) D.V	and mature individuals mall population size and decline mber of mature individuals D at least one of C1 or C2 An observed, estimated or projected continuing decline of at least (up to a max. of 100 years in future): An observed, estimated, projected or inferred continuing decline AND at least 1 of the following 3 conditions: (i) Number of mature individuals in each subpopulation (ii) % of mature individuals in one subpopulation = Extreme fluctuations in the number of mature individuals ery small or restricted population	Critically Endangered < 250 25% in 3 years or 1 generation (whichever is longer) < 50 90–100% Critically Endangered	Endangered < 2,500 20% in 5 years or 2 generations (whichever is longer) < 250 95–100% Endangered	Vulnerable < 10,000 10% in 10 years of 3 generations (whichever is long) ≤ 1,000 100%
C.S Nui AN C1. (a) (b) D.V	and mature individuals mail population size and decline mber of mature individuals D at least one of C1 or C2 An observed, estimated or projected continuing decline of at least (up to a max. of 100 years in future): An observed, estimated, projected or inferred continuing decline AND at least 1 of the following 3 conditions: (i) Mumber of mature individuals in each subpopulation (ii) % of mature individuals in one subpopulation = Extreme fluctuations in the number of mature individuals ery small or restricted population Number of mature individuals	Critically Endangered < 250 25% in 3 years or 1 generation (whichever is longer) < 50 90–100% Critically Endangered < 50	Endangered < 2,500 20% in 5 years or 2 generations (whichever is longer) < 250 95–100% Endangered < 250	Vulnerable           < 10,000
C.S Nui AN C1. (a) (b) D.V D. V	and population size and decline mber of mature individuals D at least one of C1 or C2 An observed, estimated or projected continuing decline of at least (up to a max. of 100 years in future): An observed, estimated, projected or inferred continuing decline AND at least 1 of the following 3 conditions: (i) Number of mature individuals in each subpopulation (ii) % of mature individuals in one subpopulation = Extreme fluctuations in the number of mature individuals ery small or restricted population Number of mature individuals Only applies to the VU category Restricted area of occupancy or number of locations with a plausible future threat that could drive the taxon to CR or EX in a very short time.	Critically Endangered < 250 25% in 3 years or 1 generation (whichever is longer) \$ 50 90–100% Critically Endangered < 50	Endangered < 2,500 20% in 5 years or 2 generations (whichever is longer) < 250 95–100% Endangered < 250	Vulnerable           < 10,000
C. S Nui AN C1. (a) (b) D. V D. V D. V	and population size and decline mber of mature individuals D at least one of C1 or C2 An observed, estimated or projected continuing decline of at least (up to a max. of 100 years in future): An observed, estimated, projected or inferred continuing decline AND at least 1 of the following 3 conditions: (i) Number of mature individuals in each subpopulation (ii) % of mature individuals in one subpopulation = Extreme fluctuations in the number of mature individuals ery small or restricted population Number of mature individuals . Only applies to the VU category Restricted area of occupancy or number of locations with a plausible future threat that could drive the taxon to CR or EX in a very short time. vantitative Analysis	Critically Endangered < 250 25% in 3 years or 1 generation (whichever is longer) < 50 90–100% Critically Endangered < 50	Endangered < 2,500 20% in 5 years or 2 generations (whichever is longer) < 250 95–100% Endangered < 250	Vulnerable           < 10,000
C. S Nui AN C1. (a) (b) D. V D. V D. V	anall population size and decline mber of mature individuals D at least one of C1 or C2 An observed, estimated or projected continuing decline of at least (up to a max. of 100 years in future): An observed, estimated, projected or inferred continuing decline AND at least 1 of the following 3 conditions: (i) Number of mature individuals in each subpopulation (ii) % of mature individuals in one subpopulation = Extreme fluctuations in the number of mature individuals ery small or restricted population Number of mature individuals Only applies to the VU category Restricted area of occupancy or number of locations with a plausible future threat that could drive the taxon to CR or EX in a very short time.	Critically Endangered < 250 25% in 3 years or 1 generation (whichever is longer) \$\$50 90–100% Critically Endangered < 50 Critically Endangered	Endangered < 2,500 20% in 5 years or 2 generations (whichever is longer) < 250 95–100% Endangered < 250 -	Vulnerable < 10,000 10% in 10 years of 3 generations (whichever is longed ≤ 1,000 100% Vulnerable D1. < 1,000 D2. typically: AOO < 20 km² of number of location





## Galaxias olidus 15 from 1

- Evolved in wet rainforest millions of years ago
- Became isolated as landscape changed from wet to dry sclerophyll
- Adapted in isolation as river interconnectivity changed with the dryer environment
- DNA and genetics becoming fragile through isolation
- Prone to disease and parasites
- Physical differences within the group of 15 is very small
- Populations generally in decline and in need of protection





#### Galaxias terenasus (roundsnout)

• Roundsnout Galaxias

#### Found;

- Cann River, Genoa River, Wallagaraugh River.
- Snowy River, Maclaughlin River, Delegate River
- Bobundara Creek, Cambalong Creek.

# • Likely to exist in every stream small and large within the shaded area









• Habitat. Typically recorded from clear water in slow to moderately flowing creeks to large rivers (1.0–12.0 m average width and 0.1–0.6 m average depth), flowing through light to heavily forested (and shaded) catchments, consisting predominantly of pools, glides and riffles with smaller areas of still backwaters. Also recorded from modified streams in areas almost completely cleared for grazing. Substrate usually consists of bedrock, boulder, cobble and coarse sand with smaller amounts of pebble, gravel and silt and instream cover is typically provided predominantly by rock, timber debris, and smaller amounts of aquatic vegetation, leaf litter and bank and vegetation overhang.





• **Galaxias terenasus** are able to survive amongst populations of trout in the Genoa and Cann river systems. Within the Snowy river complex, the Bombala, Cambalong, Delegate and Little Plains rivers G. terenasus survives amongst both Redfin perch and trout though the mechanisms of predator-avoidance are unknown but may include occupying habitat marginal to these preditor threats; the majority of G. terenasus collected from the Maclaughlin River were located in shallow riffle areas about 0.1m or less in depth (and not in pools). Areas of the Genoa River may be marginal for trout due to degradation and higher water temperatures. Additional sampling in the mid Snowy River system, the upper Cann River catchment, and the Wallagaraugh and upper Genoa River systems, is required to more accurately define the specific distribution of this species





Quoted from the EPBC Act Conservation Advice • In NSW, the majority of sampling for the roundsnout galaxias occurred between 2011–15 (D Gilligan 2021. pers comm 15 June). Over this period, surveys counted 762 individuals and over **100 additional observations** were made from the Delegate, Snowy, Maclaughlin and Genoa rivers, and Cambalong Creek (D Gilligan 2021. pers comm 15 June). Sampling data was standardised to account for catch per unit effort (CPUE), which indicated that the NSW subpopulation was stable or increasing in abundance (D Gilligan 2021. pers comm 15 June).





Quoted from the EPBC Act Conservation Advice • However, as surveys have not occurred since 2015, there is still uncertainty on the true extent of the NSW subpopulation as the roundsnout galaxias population continues to be impacted by the presence of salmonids and redfin, and likely the 2019–20 bushfires.





# **Trout Stocking Bans**

### The Snowy River

Between junction with Bulgundara and Bobundara Creek including tribs

## The Maclaughlin River

Between Allan Caldwell Bridge and junction with Spring Flat Creek including tribs

## The Cambalong Creek

Between Gunningrach Road crossing and junction with the Bombala River including tribs

#### The Delegate River

Between junction with Riverview and Church Creeks including tribs



Climate change means
Warmer water
More Droughts
More prevalent bush fires with greater intensity



# Changes within the riverine environment are threatening all the freshwater biota

- Traditional agriculture was grazing sheep for wool
- Economic pressures has changed the agricultural enterprise mix
  - Cultivating to improve pastures
  - Cultivating to grow cereals, silage and hay
  - Fat lamb production
  - Beef cattle breeding and backgrounding

# **Sediment Slugs**

# Bobundara Creek September 2020

# Livestock in the River



#### Gambusia (mosquito fish)

The population of Little Black Cormorant and Great Cormorant have increased markedly over the last few years This is probably because Cambusia (Mosquito Fish) are becoming abundant within the Snowy system



## Economic and Social Contribution That Recreational Trout Fishing Makes to Snowy Monaro Region

- Economic contribution when the rivers and lakes are fishing well amounts to between 70 and 90 million dollars per year
- Economic contribution in times of drought falls to as little as 12 million dollars per year
- Following drought, the MAS has traditionally been able to re-establish good fishing within our rivers and streams within 12 months of droughts breaking
- By the second year the fishing is back to being excellent
- The trout stocking bans will slow this recovery within our rivers substantially
- This will lead to substantial economic loss
- The social contribution that recreational trout fishing makes to the Snowy Monaro region is incalculable



# **To Summarize**

- The Mountain Galaxias complex is generally under threat with many being listed as critically endangered
- The decline within the Mountain Galaxias complex has mostly occurred over the last 20 years
- The science and scientists have accused Brown and Rainbow Trout as the major culprits
- There is prejudice and bias against trout and trout fishers within the scientific community
- Evidence would indicate that there are significantly more threats to galaxias than trout, these include;
  - Climate change
  - The lack of understanding about riparian vegetation and shading of waterways
    - Sediment slugs and water turbidity
  - Urban and agricultural pollution including chemicals and nutrients
  - Livestock in rivers causing turbidity and destroying riparian and aquatic vegetation

New predators such as cormorants and redfin perch





# Where Do We Go From Here

- Fishers would like to become partners in river restoration projects
- The scientific fraternity needs to understand that they need all the partners they can get
- Fishers understand that trout are predators
- Fishers understand that any river restoration works will not just benefit native species
- Rivers need to be loved and the whole community needs to value clean potable water running within them
- To achieve these things the following needs to occur;
  - An intensive media campaign aimed at farmers and urban dwellers who live and work adjacent to rivers outlining the value that the riverine environment contributes to their wellbeing
  - A legislative framework to discourage activities that degrade the river system
  - Investment by government to provide incentive programs to enable riparian fencing and native vegetation planting
  - Consultation with partners to establish suitable sanctuary sites for threatened fish with built-in resilience
  - Captive breeding projects that ensure the survival of threatened and endangered fish



## What can you do to ensure a future for recreational trout fishing

Governments within Australia reinvent natural resource management plans and investment therein every 10 years or so. There is a big announcement – funds are allocated – the government changes – priorities shift – the plan is shelved - and the funds are reallocated.

## How do we change this destructive cycle

- To achieve meaningful outcomes for our natural environment we need sustained investment over time
- Politicians respond to their electorates, the people that vote them in and out of power
- Every opportunity that presents itself to discuss these issues with politicians needs to be taken
- The discussion topics are simple but require investment;
  - Consultation within the regions regarding the value of our rivers and streams
  - Incentives to assist farmers and others to fence off waterways and provide off stream watering points
  - Development of a legislative framework that discourages inappropriate practices that puts at-risk water quality and ensures compliance, with sufficient compliance officers
  - Empower landcare groups and farmers, with coordinators and project funding to revegetate the riparian corridors



- To achieve the above within our democracy we need to Lobby
  - The people we need to engage are Board members of regional Local Land Services organisations (they administer the regional NRM plans) The NSW Government politicians (they administer the Local Land Services) the Federal Government politicians (they provide the NRM funds)
  - If you know media people you could also try and instigate media releases
  - If you know environmental scientists convince them that you are interested in being part of the solution



